

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/706,071	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WILHELMSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert C. Scheibel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) Michael Cameron (Reg. No. 50,298).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 30 March 2006

**Time:** 10:55 AM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 21, 43, 45, 50, 52, 54, 55, 56, 58, 60, and 61


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner presented the changes to the claims as documented in the attached Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Cameron agreed to these changes.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3-30-06.  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)